EVALUATION FORM: REACTION/RESPONSE PAPER

M. Thomas. Instructor

Dimensions of Evaluation - (0 = unacceptably flawed, 1 = major and minor flaws limit success, 2 = one major or numerous minor flaws, 3 = perfection spoiled by minor flaws, 4 = superb

- 1. Presentation (titling and cosmetics) -10% 4/4 = 10/10
- 2. Structure, sentence/paragraph level/continuity 40% 3.33 = 33/40
- 3. lnsight 25% 4/4 = 25/25
- 4. Support (logic, documentary references) -25% 4/4 = 25/25

Overall, in Sum (5 points possible) 93/100 = 4.7 points

Narrative Response: Another very good paper

Last week I wrote this in response to your first paper, "the main virtue of this paper is the flow, the continuity. The paper flows gracefully from logical point to logical point." The same could be said of this paper.

You make a bit of a rough start with paragraph one. The last sentence is kind of a stinker, halting and garbled. Happily you right the ship with the second paragraph. The first sentence of your final paragraph is also overly complex and a bit garbled. Otherwise I have no complaints. This is a fine paper, keep up the good work!!